

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	`NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1110130 DA	TE: <u>4/16/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: 1400		
FACILITY NAME: AL	L HEAVENLY CREATURES				
FACILITY LOCATION	7664 S FEDERAL HIG	GWAY # 1			
	PORT ST. LUCIE 34	952			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: TA	MMY NICASTRO PHO	ONE: (772)342-1465		
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 6/14/2007 / 6/14/2011 (effective date) (end date)	2			
☐ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFI	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MENTS – Rule 62-296.40	1, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriat	te box(es))		•		
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠Yes	No No No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demondays prior to the A 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible con, and within 60 days prior	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R	 ∑Yes .ule	□ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emisted 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the Action 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demondation of the Action of	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible of on, and within 60 days prio	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R	─	□ No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the factor of	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible of the control of the	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R blicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by	 ∑Yes .ule	□ No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesity of the Action of the Action	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible of the control of the c	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R conducted blicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)	YesUleYesYesYes	□ No□ No□ No□ No
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emission of the following of the following prior to demonstrate to demonstrate the following prior to the following prior to demonstrate to demonstrate the following of the	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results e	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible of on, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining app fication form submission? (I below the requirements of below the requirements of the chod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297)	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R colicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?	—	□ No□ No□ No
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the entropy of the entropy	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met ter emissions test with results e to foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected 297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible of the control of the c	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R conducted 61 r to each anniversary date? (R conducted 62 r to each anniversary date? (R conducted 60 r to each anni	YesUleYesYesYesYesYes	□ No□ No□ No□ No
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the second days prior to demond days prior to demond days prior to demond days prior to the second days prior to the seco	pjectionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible of ton, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining app fication form submission? (I below the requirements of 1 below the requirements of 1 days and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 200 and 1 to	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R chicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ing to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended	YesUleYesYesYesYesYesYesYes	 No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesity Was a visible emision of the Action of the	pjectionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible a ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining app fication form submission? (I below the requirements of I cly average basis and tested a chod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 aqual to or below the require to 7% O ₂ and tested according arce operating at the manufacture mission of a test report for a r to the date of the last form	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (R clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ing to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended in identical crematory unit? al compliance test?	<pre></pre>	 No No No No No No No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to re	ecord temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary cham	ber combustion zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	8
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600	0°F? ∏Yes ∏ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	TE: LIES LINO
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone tempera	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	4!
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residen @ 1800° F?	
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cre	mation
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinate	d \square_{X} \square_{X}
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer th	•
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the durat	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	Yes No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3	
thick?	Yes No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program	n? \Big Yes \Big No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the	duration
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?-	\bigsymbol{\text{Yes}} \bigsymbol{\text{No}} \text{No}

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUL A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	_	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to In the case of new or modified equipment, where required, has the owner submitted copies of all open a) submitted within the 15 day required window 	☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	No No No No No No No	
Robert Duke	4/16/10		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	4/30/11		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspec	ction	
COMMENTS: Very Clean Operation records were excelled	ent especially the work log		